

AI / Itw

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application No.	10/803,374
		Filing Date	March 18, 2006
		First Named Inventor	Narayan P. Menon
		Art Unit	2661
		Examiner Name	Cangialosi
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	7	Attorney Docket Number	42P11564C2

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> PTO/SB/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Filing Fee <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration/POA <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 5px;">Return Postcard</div>
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192 BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Signature	
Date	July 26, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.			
Typed or printed name	Debbie Casias		
Signature		Date	July 26, 2007



Our Docket No.: 42390P11564C2

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re Application of:)

Narayan P. Menon)

) Examiner: Backer, Firmin

Application No.: 10/803,374)

) Art Group: 3621

Filed: March 18, 2004)

For: Wireless Access Unit with Trunk)
Interface)

Mail Stop: Appeal Brief - Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPEAL BRIEF SUPPLEMENT
IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S APPEAL
TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed June 29, 2007, Appellant hereby submits this Supplement to the Appeal Brief (hereinafter "Brief") filed January 30, 2007 in support of its appeal from a final decision by the Examiner, August 25, 2006, in the above-referenced Application.

The original Appeal Brief has been rejected as non-compliant with respect to the Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter portion. Appellant respectfully submits that any non-compliance was unintentional.

Appellant submits this Supplement in accordance with the recommendation at MPEP Section 1205.3 for failure to provide a summary of the claimed subject matter.

The MPEP suggests that a paper providing only the Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter section will suffice and an entire replacement brief should not be filed.

In addition, while the Primary Examiner has requested a **precise** summary, the rules require instead a **concise** summary. The following summary is not intended to be, nor is it precise. The Board is referred to the claims in order to understand precisely what is claimed. This concise summary is provided for convenience only.

SUPPLEMENTAL SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The following is a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims with reference to the specification. Being concise, this explanation is necessarily incomplete and does not include every feature of each claim, nor can it fully describe the meaning of each claim. Being an explanation it may differ from the claims in ways that are not intended to replace or substitute for the actual recitations in the claims or the meaning of the claims.

CLAIM 1

Claim 1 refers to an apparatus with the following elements:

- a trunk interface unit 104 (*See page 14, lines 9-15, page 11, lines 3-9*);
- subscriber line interface cards (SLIC) 205 (*See page 19, line 9 to page 20, line 6*);
- a subscriber interface module (SIM) 208 for each SLIC (*See page 20, line 2 to page 21, line 10*);
- a radio transceiver 240 (*See page 22, line 20 to page 23 line 7*);
- a control section 220; and
- a wireless access communications unit 201.

These elements are mostly shown in Figure 2 as the CPRU (Customer Premises Radio Unit). The CPRU is also shown as 106 in Figure 1. In Figure 1, the wireless interface 108 to a cellular network 109 is shown, as is the interface 104 to one of the central telephone switches 105 (CPE, PBX, etc.).

Stated concisely, the control section 220 is connected to the SLICs 205, to the SIMs 208, and to the radio transceiver 240. It receives voice and signaling from SLICs and then packages and formats those for the cellular network 109. It uses the SIMs 208, to coordinate and control the wireless protocols of the cellular network (*See page 22, line 5-22*).

The wireless access communications unit 201 receives commands from the telephone switch (CPE/PBX) and then routes calls from telephones that are connected to the switch from the switch to the cellular network (*See page 23, lines 9-22*).

This interaction allows callers connected to the switch to have calls routed through the cellular network without any changes to the cellular network.

CLAIM 23

Claim 23 also presents the invention as an apparatus this time with these elements:

a central telephone switch (*See page 11, lines 3-9*);
a trunk interface unit 104 (*See page 14, lines 9-15*);
SLICs 205 (*See page 19, line 9 to page 20, line 6*);
SIMs 208 (*See page 20, line 2 to page 21, line 10*);
a radio transceiver 240; and
a control section 220.

In this claim, the radio transceiver 240 communicates with the cellular network 109 using a wireless trunk 108 (*See page 22, line 20 to page 23 line 7*). The control section 220 again receives voice and signaling from the SLICs and packages and formats the received voice and signaling for the cellular network. As in Claim 12, it also uses the SIMs to coordinate and control over the air protocols of the wireless communications network (*See page 22, line 5-22*).

Also in this claim, a wireless access communications unit 201 routes calls from the telephone switch to the cellular network in response to commands from the telephone switch (*See page 23, lines 9-22*).

CLAIM 12

Claim 12 presents the invention in terms of a method.

In this method a command is received at a wireless access communication unit 201. The command comes from a central telephone switch 105 (CPE, PBX, etc.) that is coupled to user stations 102 such as desk telephones.


Calls from the user stations are then routed in response to this command. The calls can be routed to a cellular network 109 using a wireless trunk 106, 108. Alternatively, in response to the command, the calls can be routed to a wired switched telephone network 125. (See page 12, line 17 to page 14, line 10, see also page 84, line 14 to page 85, line 8 ("8" is the command), see also page 87, line 23, to page 88, line 3, see also page 89, lines 12-18).

CLAIM 19

Claim 19 is similar to Claim 12 and presents the invention based on the format of *In re Beauregard*. A machine is shown in the elements of Claim 1 and a machine-readable medium may include e.g. SRAM 223, or flash 224. These are described on page 22, lines 5-22.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: July 26, 2007



Gordon R. Lindeen III
Reg. No. 33,192

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA. 90025-1030
(303) 740-1980